1 Appendix

2 Supplementary Appendix 1

3 This appendix describes in more detail the modelling approach evaluated in this study.

4 Model Equation

- 5 The basic idea of the approach is to relate the bed occupancy Y to an estimate of the number of
- 6 unprotected cases in the population through a constant of proportionality K:

7
$$Y = K (\sigma \epsilon P + (1 - \sigma) P)$$

8 where σ is proportion vaccinated, ϵ is 1 - efficacy of vaccination, and P is the moving average of the

9 number infected in the population. The constant K is the regression coefficient in this simple model,

10 to be fitted to the data. No intercept term is fitted, reflecting an assumption that there would be no

11 beds occupied by COVID-19-postive patients if there are no unprotected cases in the community.

- 12 Thus allowing for a time lag between testing positive for COVID-19 and admission to hospital, we
- 13 have:

14
$$Y_{(t+h)} = K \left(\sigma_t \epsilon P_t + (1 - \sigma_t) P_t \right)$$

15 where t is the timepoint we are predicting from; and h is the prediction horizon - i.e. the difference

16 in time between *t* and the target time.

17 To adapt this idea to stratify by age band:

18
$$Y = \sum_{j} \beta_{j} (\sigma_{j} \epsilon P_{j} + (1 - \sigma_{j}) P_{j})$$

19 Where *Y* is the number of beds used, β_j are constants (regression coefficients), σ_j are proportions 20 vaccinated in each age band, ϵ is 1 - efficacy of vaccination. P_j are 14-day moving averages of the 21 number infected in the population in each age band. Again introducing the time lag, this formula 22 becomes:

Page 1 of 3

$$Y^{(t+h)} = \sum_{j} \beta_{j} \left(\sigma_{j}^{t} \epsilon P_{j}^{t} + \left(1 - \sigma_{j}^{t} \right) P_{j}^{t} \right)$$

24 Where t is the timepoint we are predicting from; and h is the prediction horizon - i.e. the difference

25 in time between t and the target time.

26

23

Page 2 of 3

27 Supplementary Table 1

28

29 Regression model training periods

	Model Execution Date	Training Period	
	Range**		End Date Range
Phase	(Number of model runs)	Start Date	(Number of days)
One	07/07/2021 - 28/10/2021 (30)	11/12/2020*	24/01/2021 - 29/04/2021 (95)
Two	09/11/2021 - 06/01/2022 (18)	01/06/2021*	25/10/2021 - 23/12/2021 (59)
Three	11/01/2022 - 10/03/2022 (13)	01/08/2021†	29/12/2021 - 23/02/2022 (56)
Four	31/03/2022 - 19/04/2022 (4)	01/11/2021†	29/12/2021 - 29/12/2021 (0)

30 **During each of these date ranges, models were run twice a week except on rare occasions when

the data were not updated in time. This was as frequent as possible, since data were never updated

more than twice a week during the study. A new phase was triggered when there was evidence of
increasing error rates. Each new phase used a new training period comprising only more recent data,

34 as indicated in the training period columns of this table.

35 *Training period start date remained consistent within the phase.

³⁶ ⁺Training period start date remained consistent within the phase with two exceptions, once at the

end of phase three and once at the beginning of phase four. This adjustment was due to adapting

the model to respond appropriately to changing relationships in the model parameters as describedin the methods.

Page 3 of 3